

INHOMOGENEOUS PERTURBATIONS OF PLANE-WAVE SPACETIMES

SIGBJØRN HERVIK & ALAN COLEY

ABSTRACT. Recently it was shown that the exact cosmological solutions known as the vacuum plane-wave solutions are late-time attractors for an open set of the spatially homogeneous Bianchi universes containing a non-inflationary γ -law perfect fluid. In this paper we study inhomogeneous perturbations of these plane-wave spacetimes. By using expansion-normalised scale-invariant variables we show that these solutions are unstable to generic inhomogeneous perturbations. The crucial observation for establishing this result is a divergence of the expansion-normalised frame variables which ultimately leads to unstable modes.

1. INTRODUCTION

Since the advent of general relativity researchers have sought physical relevant solutions to the Einstein field equations. However, due to the complicated nature of the field equations symmetries are usually imposed in order to make the field equations more tractable; for example, the spatially homogeneous Bianchi models in $(3 + 1)$ -dimensional cosmology are of particular interest [1, 2, 3, 4]. One of the most fascinating and interesting solutions to these field equations are the so-called *vacuum plane-waves*. These solutions have some extraordinary physical and mathematical properties which have drawn the attention of a broad spectrum of researchers. For the Bianchi models these plane-waves play a particular role; they are late-time attractors for non-inflationary non-compact perfect fluid cosmologies of Bianchi class B.

The spatially homogeneous (SH) Bianchi class B models contain types IV, V, VI_h and VII_h . Bianchi models are referred to as orthogonal cosmologies when the fluid flow is orthogonal to the group orbits. Otherwise they represent ‘tilted’ models. The types VI_h and VII_h are of non-zero measure in the space of all spatially homogeneous models and are thus what might be called ‘general’ type. The type VII_h models are of particular physical interest because they contain open Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) models. It is known that in general relativity all orthogonal class B perfect fluid models, with equation of state parameter $\gamma \leq 2$, expand indefinitely into the future and an open set of models are asymptotic in the future to a vacuum plane wave (self-similar) solution [5, 6]. In the stiff fluid case, apart from a set of measure zero, the asymptotic form of the general type VII_h stiff perfect fluid solution corresponds to the vacuum type VII_h plane wave solution first derived by Doroshkevich, Lukash and Novikov [7]. Orthogonal models are special; it is therefore important to consider the effect that tilt has on the asymptotic behaviour of the class B models.

Until recently, only partial results regarding tilted Bianchi models were known [8, 9]. However, a detailed analysis of the tilted Bianchi models shows that many of the vacuum plane waves are stable with regards to more general tilted matter [10, 11]. This analysis includes keeping track of the asymptotic tilt velocity of the perfect fluid which, in general, can have different kinds of complicated asymptotic behaviour; e.g., attracting points, closed orbits and even attracting tori. Notwithstanding this complex behaviour of the tilt velocity, the plane-wave geometry are asymptotically stable for types IV, VII_h, and an open set of type VI_h models. Since the types VII_h and VI_h are among the most general Bianchi models, we can draw a remarkable conclusion: *In the class of all spatially non-compact Bianchi universes with a non-inflationary γ -law perfect fluid (not necessary co-moving), there exists an open set of universes asymptoting towards the vacuum plane-waves at late times.*

As stressed in [3, 4], an important mathematical link exists between the various classes in the *state space* hierarchy. The physical state of a cosmological model at an instant of time is represented by a point in the state space, which is finite dimensional for SH models and infinite dimensional otherwise. This structure opens the possibility that the evolution of a model in one class may be approximated, over some time interval, by a model in a more special class. Thus it is plausible that understanding the dynamics at one level of complexity, such as for example the spatially homogeneous Bianchi type VI_h and VII_h models, will shed light on the possible dynamical behaviour at a higher level, such as special classes of inhomogeneous cosmological models.

To investigate this further, in this paper we will consider inhomogeneous perturbations of the Bianchi type VII_h plane-wave spacetimes. In particular, we will determine whether the plane-wave solutions are future attractors for models of non-zero measure in general relativity. However, our analysis indicates that this is not so; the models are unstable with respect to inhomogeneous perturbations. The critical unstable modes we find correspond to inhomogeneous perturbations orthogonal to the propagation of the gravitational wave. For these plane-wave spacetimes the direction along the propagation of the wave expands linearly in cosmological time, while the orthogonal directions expands more slower. The instability of these models stems from this anisotropic expansion; the slower expanding directions do not expand rapidly enough to prevent the forming of inhomogeneities.

In order to understand this instability, it is illustrative to compare the expansion with a flat FRW model. The flat FRW model expands as $a(t) \propto t^p$, where t is the cosmological time. Inflation occurs for $p > 1$, while $p < 1$ leads to instability with regards to inhomogeneous perturbations [3]. For the plane-wave spacetimes, one direction expands with $p = 1$ (the threshold value), while the others expand with $p < 1$. Hence, we expect instability in two of the directions (the third is a marginal case).

2. INHOMOGENEOUS COSMOLOGIES

Recently, a formalism for studying the dynamics of inhomogeneous cosmologies (G_0 models) by employing expansion-normalised scale-invariant variables was developed [12]. This formalism uses orthonormal frames to write the Einstein field equations as an autonomous system of evolution equations and constraints.

The orthonormal frame $\{\mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{e}_\alpha\}$ can be expressed in local coordinates as

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{e}_0 = \frac{1}{N}(\partial_t - N^i \partial_i), \quad \mathbf{e}_\alpha = e_\alpha^i \partial_i.$$

We introduce the Hubble-normalised frame as follows:

$$(2) \quad \partial_0 = \frac{1}{H} \mathbf{e}_0, \quad \partial_\alpha = \frac{1}{H} \mathbf{e}_\alpha.$$

It is also necessary to introduce the deceleration parameter q and the spatial Hubble gradient r_α :

$$(3) \quad q + 1 \equiv -\frac{1}{H} \partial_0 H, \quad r_\alpha \equiv -\frac{1}{H} \partial_\alpha H.$$

For the purposes of this paper, it is useful to introduce the separable volume gauge:

$$(4) \quad N = H^{-1}, \quad N^i = 0, \quad \dot{U}_\alpha = r_\alpha.$$

This enables us to write

$$(5) \quad \partial_0 = \partial_t, \quad \partial_\alpha = E_\alpha^i \partial_i,$$

where $E_\alpha^i \equiv e_\alpha^i / H$.

The Hubble-normalised state vector for G_0 cosmologies with a γ -law perfect fluid can then be given by

$$(6) \quad \mathbf{X} = [E_\alpha^i, r_\alpha, \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}, A^\alpha, N_{\alpha\beta}, \Omega, v^\alpha]^T,$$

where $\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ is the expansion-normalised shear, A^α and $N_{\alpha\beta}$ are the connection variables, and Ω and v^α are the energy density and the tilt of the matter, respectively. The evolution equations and the constraints can now be written down in terms of $\mathbf{X}$ (see [12]). The equations can be written in the form

$$(7) \quad \partial_t \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}, \partial_i \mathbf{X}, \partial_i \partial_j \mathbf{X}),$$

$$(8) \quad 0 = \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X}, \partial_i \mathbf{X}),$$

where eqs.(7) are the evolution equations and eqs. (8) are the constraints. An interesting observation is that apart from the evolution equations for r_α , the spatial derivatives appear linearly. Moreover, the only equations involving second-order spatial derivatives are those of the Hubble gradient, r_α , which contain terms of the form $\partial_i \partial_j r_\alpha$.

2.1. The plane waves. In our case we will be interested in a particular set of exact solutions, namely the vacuum plane-wave solutions. In general the plane-wave solutions have a 2-dimensional Abelian group acting freely on the spatial hypersurfaces¹; i.e., they are special exact G_2 solutions to the equation of motion. In particular, by choosing the vector r_α appropriately, the G_2 models have $r_\alpha = (r_1, 0, 0)$ (see [13] for details). The exact G_2 plane-waves solutions can easily be found; however, we will only consider a special class of them here. The class we are considering is the *spatially homogeneous* plane-wave solutions of Bianchi type VII_h. These plane-wave solutions are given by

$$ds^2 = e^{2\tau}(-d\tau^2 + dx^2) + e^{2s(x+\tau)} [e^\beta (\cos v dy - \sin v dz)^2 + e^{-\beta} (\sin v dy + \cos v dz)^2],$$

where $v = b(x + \tau)$ and b , β and s are constants satisfying $b^2 \sinh^2 \beta = s(1 - s)$, $0 < s < 1$. The Killing vectors ∂_y and ∂_z span the wave-fronts and are the Killing

¹Cosmological models which have a 2-dimensional Abelian group acting freely on the spatial hypersurfaces are usually referred to as G_2 -models [3].

vectors that survive the generalisation to the G_2 models. We will see later that the critical unstable modes correspond to inhomogeneous perturbations in the (y, z) -plane so we do not expect the specialisation to spatially plane-wave homogeneous models to be critical for our result.

3. PERTURBING THE PLANE WAVE

The spatially homogeneous plane waves of Bianchi type VII_h are given in the expansion-normalised variables by (the notation used is the same as in [10, 11])

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} q &= 2\chi, \quad \Sigma_+ = -\chi, \quad A_\alpha = A\delta_\alpha^1, \quad A = 1 - \chi, \quad \Sigma_-^2 = N_{23}^2 = \chi(1 - \chi), \\ \bar{N} &= \lambda N_{23}, \quad \text{where } 0 < \chi < 1, \quad \lambda^2 > 1, \quad (1 - \chi) = 3h(\lambda^2 - 1)\chi \\ \Sigma_{23} &= \Sigma_{12} = \Sigma_{13} = N_- = N_{11} = N_{12} = N_{13} = r_\alpha = \Omega = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In the following we will assume that $\Omega = 0$ (vacuum)². Moreover, we will also chose the following gauge:

$$(10) \quad R_1 = \sqrt{3}\lambda\Sigma_-, \quad R_2 = -\sqrt{3}\Sigma_{13}, \quad R_3 = \sqrt{3}\Sigma_{12}.$$

An alternative choice of gauge could be, for example, one where we eliminate some of the expansion-normalised variables; however, the above choice is useful because it simplifies the equations of motion significantly. We will also assume that the Codazzi equations has been used to eliminate the variables Σ_{12} and Σ_{13} .

3.1. Showing instability. Let us first establish the fact that these plane waves are unstable to inhomogeneous perturbations. Consider the variable N_{11} , which vanishes for the background plane-wave spacetime. For the above choice of gauge the evolution equation for N_{11} is

$$(11) \quad \partial_t N_{11} = (q - 4\Sigma_+)N_{11}.$$

At the linearised level, we get $\partial_t N_{11} = 6\chi N_{11}$, and hence this variable is unstable. So for models that allow for a non-zero N_{11} , the plane waves are unstable. The constraints governing the $N_{\alpha\beta}$ variables, are the Jacobi constraint equations:

$$(12) \quad 0 = (\partial_\beta - r_\beta)(N^{\alpha\beta} + \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}A_\gamma) - 2A_\beta N^{\alpha\beta}.$$

It is clear that the general inhomogeneous models allow for a non-zero N_{11} ; hence, the plane-wave spacetime is unstable to generic inhomogeneous perturbations.

The component N_{11} is the 1-component of the commutator between ∂_2 and ∂_3 :

$$(13) \quad N_{11} = ([\partial_2, \partial_3])_1,$$

which means that a perturbation in N_{11} stems from a spatial perturbation of the vectors ∂_2 and ∂_3 . Thus to understand where this instability comes from, it is of importance to study the differential operators ∂_2 and ∂_3 , and the Hubble gradients $r_\alpha = -(1/H)\partial_\alpha H$. Note that in the Abelian case (i.e., the G_2 models) $N_{11} = 0$.

²The assumption $\Omega = 0$ is not crucial for our analysis since we find that the models are unstable. Including matter will only bring more degrees of freedom, and hence more perturbative modes, into the analysis.

3.2. Interpretation. The frame variables, E_α^i , decouple for the spatially homogeneous models where $\mathbf{e}_0$ is orthogonal to the type VII_h hypersurfaces. For the background type VII_h plane waves, the frame variables can be taken to have the form

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} E_1^i &= (1, 0, 0) \\ E_2^i &= (0, E_2^y, E_2^z) \\ E_3^i &= (0, E_3^y, E_3^z), \end{aligned}$$

where the matrix (E_α^i) is invertible. Introducing the complex frame variable

$$Z^i = E_2^i + \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(1 + i\sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1} \right) E_3^i, \quad i = y, z,$$

the evolution equations and the commutator relations,

$$(15) \quad \partial_t E_\alpha^i = (q\delta_\alpha^\beta - \Sigma_\alpha^\beta + \varepsilon_{\alpha\gamma}^\beta R^\gamma) E_\beta^i$$

$$(16) \quad 0 = 2(\partial_{[\alpha} - r_{[\alpha} - A_{[\alpha}) E_{\beta]}^i - \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\delta} N^{\delta\gamma} E_\gamma^i,$$

imply that for the plane waves

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t Z^i &= (3\chi - i\omega) Z^i, \\ \partial_x Z^i &= (A - i\omega) Z^i, \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega \equiv \sqrt{3\chi(1-\chi)(\lambda^2-1)}$. Hence, $Z^i \propto \exp[3\chi t + Ax - i\omega(t+x)]$. This implies that the frame variables diverge for the plane waves in question. Note that for a SH cosmology, we have that $\partial_t \mathbf{X} \equiv 0$, and thus $\partial_\alpha \mathbf{X} \equiv 0$. So in these models the frame variables decouple from the equations of motion. However, as we perturb these models inhomogeneously, $\partial_\alpha \mathbf{X}$ is no longer necessarily zero and the divergence of the frame variables may become important. The above shows that the differential operators ∂_2 and ∂_3 carry a divergent term $e^{3\chi t}$ which, in turn, indicates that the plane waves are unstable with regard to inhomogeneous perturbations in the ∂_2 and ∂_3 directions (orthogonal to the propagation of the wave).

In the following analysis we will choose $Z^y = \exp[3\chi t + Ax - i\omega(t+x)] \equiv Z$, and $Z^z = iZ$. It is also advantageous to introduce the following complex variable,

$$r = r_2 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(1 + i\sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1} \right) r_3$$

along with the operators

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial &\equiv Z^i \partial_i = Z(\partial_y + i\partial_z), \\ \bar{\partial} &\equiv \bar{Z}^i \partial_i = \bar{Z}(\partial_y - i\partial_z). \end{aligned}$$

To investigate the (in)stability of these spacetimes with respect to general inhomogeneous perturbations we will linearise the equations of motion around the exact plane-wave solutions (assuming that terms like $(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}_0)$, $\partial_\alpha \mathbf{X}$, $\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \mathbf{X}$, etc. are small). The interesting modes stem from the Hubble gradients r_1 and r , so to understand what is happening we will linearise these equations explicitly. The evolution equation for r_α and the vorticity constraint read

$$(19) \quad \partial_t r_\alpha = (q\delta_\alpha^\beta - \Sigma_\alpha^\beta + \varepsilon_{\alpha\gamma}^\beta R^\gamma) r_\beta + \partial_\alpha q$$

$$(20) \quad 0 = [\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} (\partial_\beta - A_\beta) - N^{\alpha\gamma}] r_\gamma.$$

The deceleration parameter, q , for vacuum is given by

$$q = 2\Sigma^2 + \frac{2}{3}A^\alpha r_\alpha - \frac{1}{3}\partial_\alpha r^\alpha.$$

From the linearised vorticity constraint, $\partial \bar{r} = \bar{\partial} r$, and using $[\partial, \bar{\partial}] = 0$, we can write

$$(21) \quad \partial q = 2\partial\Sigma^2 + \frac{1}{3}(2A\partial r_1 - \partial\partial_x r_1) - \frac{1}{3}(\partial_2^2 + \partial_3^2)r.$$

The vorticity constraint also gives the following linear relation:

$$(22) \quad \partial r_1 = (\partial_x - A)r + M_r r + M_{\bar{r}} \bar{r},$$

where M_r and $M_{\bar{r}}$ are constants. However, for simplicity, we will leave this constraint unsolved and rather impose it on the initial conditions.

At this stage it is useful to introduce an orthonormal set of functions, $f_{\mathbf{k}}(y, z)$, on the flat torus spanned by the vectors ∂_y and ∂_z . These functions can be chosen to be proportional to the usual Fourier modes $e^{-i(k_y y + k_z z)}$, and hence, $\partial f_{\mathbf{k}} = -ikZ f_{\mathbf{k}}$, where $\mathbf{k} = k_y + ik_z$. Defining

$$(23) \quad \kappa_2 = E_2^i k_i = -\frac{i\lambda}{2\sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}}(\mathbf{k}Z - \bar{\mathbf{k}}\bar{Z}),$$

$$(24) \quad \kappa_3 = E_3^i k_i = \frac{i}{2\sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}}\left[\left(1 - i\sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}\right)\mathbf{k}Z - \left(1 + i\sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}\right)\bar{\mathbf{k}}\bar{Z}\right],$$

and $\kappa^2 \equiv \kappa_2^2 + \kappa_3^2 \geq 0$, we obtain

$$(25) \quad (\partial_2^2 + \partial_3^2)f_{\mathbf{k}} = -\kappa^2 f_{\mathbf{k}}.$$

Here, κ^2 is a quadratic monomial in Z and $\bar{Z}$, and therefore diverges as $\kappa^2 \propto e^{6\chi t}$. By expanding all the linearised variables as

$$(26) \quad \mathbf{X} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{k}}(t, x) f_{\mathbf{k}}(y, z),$$

we obtain

$$(27) \quad \partial_t \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{k}} = \left(3\chi - i\omega + \frac{1}{3}\kappa^2\right) \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{k}} - ikZ \left[4(\Sigma_{\alpha\beta})_0 \Sigma_{\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{2}{3}(1 - \chi)r_{1,\mathbf{k}} - \frac{1}{3}\partial_x r_{1,\mathbf{k}}\right].$$

We note that we can write

$$(28) \quad (|\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{k}}|^2)' = \left(6\chi + \frac{2}{3}\kappa^2\right) |\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 - 2\text{Re}(ikZ \rho_{\mathbf{k}} \bar{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{k}}),$$

where $\rho_{\mathbf{k}}$ is a linear combination of $\Sigma_{\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha\beta}$, $r_{1,\mathbf{k}}$ and $\partial_x r_{1,\mathbf{k}}$. For $\mathbf{k} \neq 0$, there exist $\{\kappa_{\min}^2(x), \kappa_{\max}^2(x)\} > 0$ such that

$$e^{6\chi t} \kappa_{\min}^2(x) \leq \kappa^2 \leq e^{6\chi t} \kappa_{\max}^2(x).$$

Let us also introduce

$$(29) \quad I \equiv \frac{2}{3} \int_{t_0}^t \kappa^2 dt,$$

which obeys the bounds

$$\frac{\kappa_{\min}^2(x)}{9\chi} (e^{6\chi t} - e^{6\chi t_0}) \leq I \leq \frac{\kappa_{\max}^2(x)}{9\chi} (e^{6\chi t} - e^{6\chi t_0}).$$

The equation for r_1 has a similar κ^2 term, so taking into account the vorticity constraint, we would naively expect that $|\mathbf{r}_\mathbf{k}|^2 \propto e^{\lambda t + I}$ for a constant λ , and hence, $\mathbf{r}_\mathbf{k}$ is diverging.

We are thus led to conclude that the plane waves are unstable with respect to inhomogeneous perturbations of the Hubble gradient $\mathbf{r}$.

4. DISCUSSION

In this paper we have shown that the plane-wave spacetimes of Bianchi type VII_h are unstable with respect to inhomogeneous perturbations. The cause of this instability ultimately stems from the behaviour of the frame variables E_α^i , which are unbounded into the future for the plane-wave solutions. For the spatially homogeneous solutions these frame variables decouple from the equations of motion; however, in the general G_0 models this unboundedness of the frame variables is crucial. As we pointed out earlier, the expansion-normalised differential operators ∂_α carry this divergence and are thus in some sense intrinsically unstable. In particular, we showed that the Hubble gradient, $r_\alpha = -(1/H)\partial_\alpha H$, has two unbounded components corresponding to directions orthogonal to the propagation of the wave.

We also point out that the limit $\chi \rightarrow 0$ corresponds to the vacuum Milne model. The above analysis has excluded this case since the eigenvalue $(3\chi - i\omega)$ gets a troublesome zero real part. In this case a separate analysis is required. Perturbations of the Milne universe have been studied in [14] which shows that this model is also unstable.

For the G_2 models, $r_\alpha = (r_1, 0, 0)$ and hence the critical unstable modes obtained here are excluded. A non-zero r_1 is still allowed, and from the equation of motion we note that there is a 'zero eigenvalue' corresponding to the evolution equation for r_1 . This is really no surprise since we know that there exist more general plane wave solutions with $r_1 \neq 0$. It is not known what role these G_2 plane waves play in the evolution of general G_2 cosmologies.

Another point worth mentioning is the choice of temporal gauge. In this work we used the separable volume gauge, defined in eq.(4); however, another useful gauge is the synchronous gauge. Also in this gauge we can show that the plane waves are unstable; in particular, eq.(11) remains on the same form. This shows the robustness of our result with regards to the temporal gauge.

Recently, Barrow and Tsagas [15] have studied linearised perturbations of the same plane-wave spacetimes. However, they considered the subcase in which the Weyl tensor does not vary along the directions ∂_y and ∂_z . This assumption alone effectively reduces the model to a G_2 model and thus, as we pointed out earlier, the unstable critical mode we found here is not included.

In the analysis the unboundedness of the frame variables E_α^i was critical for the unstable nature of these models. We may thus ask ourselves: Will all models which have unbounded frame variables E_α^i be unstable against generic inhomogeneous perturbations? Future research may shed some light on this issue.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank John D. Barrow, Henk van Elst, Woei Chet Lim and Christos Tsagas for useful discussions and comments. This work was funded by a Killam PostDoctoral Fellowship (SH) and NSERC (AC).

REFERENCES

1. L. Bianchi, *Mem. Mat. Fis. Soc. It. Sc.*, Serie Terza, **XI** (1898) 267 (English translation in *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **33** (2001) 2171)
2. G.F.R. Ellis and M.A.H. MacCallum, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **12** (1969) 108
3. J. Wainwright and G.F.R. Ellis, *Dynamical Systems in Cosmology*, Cambridge University Press (1997).
4. A.A. Coley, *Dynamical Systems and Cosmology*, Kluwer, Academic Publishers (2003)
5. S.T.C. Siklos, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **8** (1991) 1587
6. C. G. Hewitt and J. Wainwright, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **10** (1993) 99.
7. A. G. Doroshkevich, V. N. Lukash, and I. D. Novikov, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **37** (1973) 739.
8. J.D. Barrow and D.H. Sonoda, *Phys. Reports* **139** (1986) 1
9. J.D. Barrow and S. Hervik, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **20** (2003) 2841
10. A.A. Coley and S. Hervik, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **22** (2005) 579-606
11. S. Hervik, R. van den Hoogen and A.A. Coley, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **22** (2005) 607-634
12. C. Ugla, H. van Elst, J. Wainwright and G.F.R. Ellis, *Phys.Rev.* **D68** (2003) 103502
13. W.C. Lim, *The Dynamics of Inhomogeneous Cosmologies*, PhD Thesis, University of Waterloo, 2004. [[gr-qc/0410126](#)]
14. M. Bruni, *Phys. Rev.* **D47** (1993) 738
15. J. Barrow and C. Tsagas, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **22** (2005) 825-840

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, DALHOUSIE UNIVERSITY, HALIFAX, NOVA SCOTIA, CANADA B3H 3J5

E-mail address: herviks@mathstat.dal.ca, aac@mathstat.dal.ca